



Scribability Problems for Polytopes

Arnau Padrol (FU Berlin \longrightarrow UPMC Paris 6) joint work with Hao Chen (FU Berlin \longrightarrow TU Eindhoven)



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ ▲□▶ ▲□

Scribability problems



Scribability Problems

Study realizability of polytopes when the position of their faces relative to the sphere is constrained.







Classical scribability problems

Once upon a time...



Jakob Steiner (according to Wikipedia)

Systematische Entwickelung der Abhängigkeit geometrischer Gestalten von einander (1832)

77) Wenn irgend ein convexes Polyeder gegeben ist läßt sich dann immer (oder in welchen Fällen nur) irgend ein anderes, welches mit ihm in Hinsicht der Art und der Zusammensetzung der Grenzflächen übereinstimmt (oder von gleicher Gattung ist), in oder um eine Kugelfläche, oder in oder um irgend eine andere Fläche zweiten Grades beschreiben (d.h. daß seine Ecken alle in dieser Fläche liegen oder seine Grenzflächen alle diese Fläche berühren)?

...inscribable polytopes



Jakob Steiner (according to Wikipedia)

Systematische Entwickelung der Abhängigkeit geometrischer Gestalten von einander (1832)

77) Wenn irgend ein convexes Polyeder gegeben ist läßt sich dann immer (oder in welchen Fällen nur) irgend ein anderes, welches mit ihm in Hinsicht der Art und der Zusammensetzung der Grenzflächen übereinstimmt (oder von gleicher Gattung ist), in oder um eine Kugelfläche, oder in oder um irgend eine andere Fläche zweiten Grades beschreiben (d.h. daß seine Ecken alle in dieser Fläche liegen oder seine Grenzflächen alle diese Fläche berühren)?

- Is every (3-dimensional) polytope inscribable?
- If not, in which cases?
- What about circumscribable?
- What about other quadrics?



Steinitz 1928 Über isoperimetrische Probleme bei konvexen Polyedern

- *P* is circumscribable $\Leftrightarrow P^*$ is inscribable
- There exist infinitely many non-circumscribable 3-polytopes.



Erust Steinite



non-inscribable

Arnau Padrol (& Hao Chen) — NEG 2015

Inscribable polytopes in dimension 3



Igor Rivin

Rivin 1996 *A characterization of ideal polyhedra in hyperbolic 3-space*

A 3-polytope *P* is circumscribable if and only if there exist numbers $\omega(e)$ associated to the edges *e* of *P* such that:

- ▶ 0 < ω(e) < π,</p>
- $\sum_{e \in F} \omega(e) = 2\pi$ for each facet *F* of *P*, and
- $\sum_{e \in C} \omega(e) > 2\pi$ for each simple circuit *C* which does not bound a facet.

Inscribability on other quadrics



Jeffrey Danciger



Sara Maloni



Jean-Marc Schlenker

Danciger, Maloni & Schlenker 2014 Polyhedra inscribed in a quadric

A 3-polytope is inscribable on a hyperboloid or a cylinder if and only if it is inscribable and Hamiltonian.

More classical scribability problems

k-scribability



Grünbaum & Shephard 1987 Some problems on polyhedra

For which k and d is every d-polytope k-scribable?



G. Shephard

B. Grünbaum





Schulte 1987 Analogues of Steinitz's theorem about non-inscribable polytopes

Except for $d \le 2$ or d = 3 and k = 1, there are d-polytopes that are not k-scribable.



Egon Schulte

The only case that has resisted all efforts so far is the escribability in three dimensions. Somehow it is strange that all higher-dimensional analogues turn out to be solvable, while the 'elementary' three-dimensional case of escribability seems to be intractable.



Koebe 1936 – Andreev 1970 – Thurston 1982 The geometry and topology of 3-manifolds

Every 3-polytope is 1-scribable.

Koebe – Andreev – Thurston The circle packing Theorem

Every planar graph is representable by a circle packing (with a dual circle packing).



Figure from Wikipedia by D. Eppstein

Weak k-scribability



G. Shephard



weakly circumscribable

Schulte 1987 Analogues of Steinitz's theorem about non-inscribable polytopes

Except for $d \le 2$ or d = 3 and k = 1, if $0 \le k \le d - 3$ there are *d*-polytopes that are not weakly *k*-scribable.



Egon Schulte

The attempt to generalize our methods immediately reveals the main difference between (m, d)-scribability and weak (m, d)-scribability. In fact, a polytope with all m-faces tangent to \mathbb{S}^{d-1} has necessarily an interior point in the open unit-ball, while this need not be true if only the affine hulls of all m-faces are tangent to \mathbb{S}^{d-1} . Therefore, Theorem 1 might fail.

New scribability problems

Chen & P. 2015+ Scribability problems for polytopes

For which *i*, *j* and *d* is every *d*-polytope (*i*, *j*)-scribable?

P is (weakly) (*i*, *j*)-scribed if all (affine hulls of) *i*-faces *avoid* the sphere and all (affine hulls of) *j*-faces *cut* the sphere



Hao Chen



► strongly (i, j)-scribed \Rightarrow weakly (i, j)-scribed

In the strong and weak sense:

- ► (k, k)-scribed \Leftrightarrow k-scribed (classic definition)
- ► (i, j)-scribed \Rightarrow (i', j')-scribed for $i' \leq i$ and $j' \geq j$
- ► (i, j)-scribed \Rightarrow polar (d 1 j, d 1 i)-scribed
- ► (i, j)-scribed \Rightarrow facets (i, j)-scribed
- ► (i, j)-scribed \Rightarrow vertex figures (i 1, j 1)-scribed

Polarity is not nice for polytopes...



... and cannot always be saved with a projective transformation ...



... but it is for cones or spherical polytopes!



Definition

- $P \subset \mathbb{S}^d$ spherical polytope, F face of P. Then
 - ► *F* strongly cuts \mathbf{B}^d if relint(*F*) $\cap \mathbf{B}^d \neq \emptyset$;
 - ► *F* weakly cuts \mathbf{B}^d if span(*F*) $\cap \mathbf{B}^d \neq \emptyset$;
 - ► *F* weakly avoids \mathbf{B}^d if span(*F*) \cap int $\mathbf{B}^d = \emptyset$;
 - ► *F* strongly avoids \mathbf{B}^d if there is a supporting hyperplane *H* of *P* such that $F = H \cap P$ and $\mathbf{B}^d \subset H^-$.



Facets intersecting the sphere are hyperbolic polyhedra

A warm-up: weak (i, j)-scribability

A weakly inscribable polytope

A Euclidean weakly inscribable polytope is also strongly inscribable. But this is no longer true with spherical polytopes!



A weakly inscribable polytope

A Euclidean weakly inscribable polytope is also strongly inscribable. But this is no longer true with spherical polytopes!



For example, the triakis tetrahedron is weakly inscribable!



This polytope is not weakly inscribable



This polytope is not weakly circumscribable



This polytope is not weakly inscribable



This polytope is not weakly circumscribable



Theorem (Chen & P. 2015+)

Except for $d \le 2$ or d = 3 and k = 1, there are d-polytopes that are not weakly (k, k)-scribable.

Theorem (Chen & P. 2015)

Every d-polytope is weakly (i, j)-scribable for $0 \le i < j \le d - 1$.



Strong (*i*, *j*)-scribability: cyclic polytopes



Points surrounding the sphere are arrangements of spheres



The Sphere Separator Theorem









Gary Miller Shang-Hua Teng William Thurston Stephen Vavasis

Miller, Teng, Thurston & Vavasis 1997 Separators for Sphere-Packings and Nearest Neighbor Graphs

The intersection graph of a k-ply system of n caps in \mathbb{S}^d can be separated into two disjoint components of size at most $\frac{d+1}{d+2}n$ by removing $O(k^{1/d}n^{1-1/d})$ vertices.

The Sphere Separator Theorem









Gary Miller Shang-Hua Teng William Thurston Stephen Vavasis

Miller, Teng, Thurston & Vavasis 1997 Separators for Sphere-Packings and Nearest Neighbor Graphs

The intersection graph of a k-ply system of n caps in \mathbb{S}^d can be separated into two disjoint components of size at most $\frac{d+1}{d+2}n$ by removing $O(k^{1/d}n^{1-1/d})$ vertices.

- Wlog 0 is the centerpoint of centers of the B_i
- Take a random linear hyperplane
- Probability it hits a cap depends on its surface
- Since k-ply it can be bounded

Moment curve

$$\gamma:t\mapsto (t,t^2,\ldots,t^d)$$

Cyclic polytope

$$\mathcal{C}_d(n) = \operatorname{conv} \left\{ \gamma(t_1), \ldots, \gamma(t_n), t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_n \right\}$$



Combinatorial type:

- Independent of the t_i's,
- Same for γ any order *d* curve. For example: Trig. moment curve: $\gamma : t \mapsto (\sin t, \cos t, \dots, \sin kt, \cos kt)$
- Simplicial
- ► $\left\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \right\rfloor$ -neighborly: every subset of at most $\left\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \right\rfloor$ vertices forms a face.

Moment curve

$$\gamma:t\mapsto (t,t^2,\ldots,t^d)$$

Cyclic polytope

$$\mathcal{C}_d(n) = \operatorname{conv} \left\{ \gamma(t_1), \ldots, \gamma(t_n), t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_n \right\}$$



Combinatorial type:

- Independent of the t_i's,
- Same for γ any order *d* curve. For example: Trig. moment curve: $\gamma : t \mapsto (\sin t, \cos t, \dots, \sin kt, \cos kt)$
- Simplicial
- ▶ $\left\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \right\rfloor$ -neighborly: every subset of at most $\left\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \right\rfloor$ vertices forms a face.

Theorem (Upper bound theorem [McMullen 1970])

If P is a d-polytope with n vertices

$$f_i(P) \leq f_i(\mathcal{C}_d(n))$$

with equality if and only if P is simplicial and neighborly.

Arnau Padrol (& Hao Chen) — NEG 2015

Lemma (Sturmfels 1987)

For even d, every cyclic polytope comes from a order d curve

(The keyword here is oriented matroid rigidity)

Lemma

If d even, every k-set of $C_d(n)$ with $k \ge 3\frac{d}{2} - 1$ contains a facet.



Theorem

If $d \ge 4$ even and $n \gg 0$ is large enough, $C_d(n)$ is not (1, d-1)-scribable.

Proof.

Assume it was (1, d-1)-scribed:

- Every $k (= 3\frac{d}{2} 1)$ -set contains a facet
- \Rightarrow intersects \mathbb{S}^d
- ▶ \Rightarrow induces a *k*-ply system
- ► ⇒ intersection graph has small separators
- Intersection graph are edges avoiding the sphere
- ► ⇒ complete graph!

Our proofs only extend partially to neighborly polytopes.

Lemma

For $d \ge 4$ and $n \gg 0$, a *k*-neighborly polytope with *n* vertices is not (1, k)-scribable.

This suffices to show that:

Corollary

For $d \ge 4$ and $1 \le k \le d - 2$, there is a non k-scribable f-vector.

Question

Is there a non-inscribable f-vector? For example, that of a dual-to-neighborly polytope with many vertices?

What about stacked polytopes?

Stacked polytopes

Most stacked polytopes are not inscribable [Gonska & Ziegler 2013]

Theorem

For every $0 \le k \le d - 3$, there is a stacked d-polytope that is not *k*-scribable.

But...

Theorem

Every stacked d-polytope is circumscribable and ridgescribable.

Hence, truncated polytopes are always edgescribable!



What now?

Question

Is every d-polytope (0, d-1)-scribable?

We don't think so but ...

- true in dimension ≤ 3
- cyclic polytopes are (0, d-1)-scribable
- stacked polytopes are (0, d-1)-scribable

Question

Is every d-polytope (0, d-1)-scribable?

We don't think so but ...

- true in dimension ≤ 3
- cyclic polytopes are (0, d-1)-scribable
- ► stacked polytopes are (0, d − 1)-scribable

Thank you!